

MPI-PhT/2001-24

BN-TH-01-06

hep-th/0107239

An anomalous breaking of supersymmetry in supersymmetric gauge theories with local coupling

Elisabeth Kraus *

*Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn,
Nußallee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

Abstract

We extend the gauge coupling of Super-Yang-Mills theories to an external real superfield composed out of a chiral and an antichiral superfield and perform renormalization in the extended model. In one-loop order we find an anomalous breaking of supersymmetry which vanishes in the limit to constant coupling. The anomaly arises from non-local contributions and its coefficient is gauge and scheme independent and strictly of one-loop order. In the perturbative framework of the construction the anomaly cannot be absorbed as a counterterm to the classical action. With local gauge coupling the symmetric counterterms with chiral integration are holomorphic functions and this property is independent from the specific regularization scheme. Thus, the symmetric counterterm to the Yang-Mills part is of one-loop order only – and it is the anomaly which gives rise to the two-loop order of the gauge β -function in pure Super-Yang-Mills theories and to its closed all-order expression.

*E-mail address: kraus@th.physik.uni-bonn.de,
Presently at *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)*
Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 Munich, Germany

1 Introduction

The extension of coupling constants to space-time dependent external fields, i.e. to local couplings, has been an important tool in renormalized perturbation theory for a long time [1, 2]. It has been moved in the center of interest again from a string point of view since the couplings there are dynamical fields and enter quite naturally as external fields the effective field theories derived from string theories. Inspired from string theory local couplings in combination with holomorphicity have been considered also in quantum field theory [3, 4, 5, 6].

Also in ordinary quantum field theory local couplings found recently interesting applications: In the Wess–Zumino model [7], in SQED [8] and in softly broken SQED [9] they allowed the derivation of the non-renormalization theorems [10, 11] from renormalization properties of the extended model in an algebraic context.

The same analysis is here applied to Super–Yang–Mills theories: We extend the gauge coupling to an external real superfield by introducing a chiral and an antichiral field into the Yang–Mills part. Since these fields compose the real superfield of the coupling, they are not independent fields and their imaginary part couples to a total derivative in the action. This property can be expressed by a Ward identity.

By means of this Ward identity symmetric counterterms to chiral vertices are holomorphic functions of the chiral field composing the supercoupling. In particular counterterms to the Yang–Mills part are exhausted in one-loop order and this property just expresses the generalized non-renormalization theorem of the coupling [12].

However, if the coupling is treated as a space-time dependent field, supersymmetry has an anomalous breaking in one-loop order. The anomalous breaking of supersymmetry is different from the Adler–Bardeen anomaly [13] in its algebraic characterization: It is the variation of a local field monomial, but the corresponding field monomial involves the logarithm of the gauge coupling. The perturbative expansion and in particular all loop diagrams are power series in the gauge coupling. Thus, the anomaly is determined by non-local and finite parts of Green functions, which are not subject of renormalization. This property characterizes the anomalous breaking of supersymmetry as a true anomaly.

Indeed, we are able to prove by algebraic consistency that the coefficient of the anomaly is scheme and gauge independent. Hence, it appears in the manifest supersymmetric gauge and in the Wess–Zumino gauge irrespective of the specific scheme used for the subtraction of divergences. However we point out, that it might be shifted by non-invariant counterterms from supersymmetry to the constraining Ward identity of the chiral and antichiral fields composing the gauge

coupling. And with a supersymmetric invariant scheme for the subtraction of divergences as the BPHZ scheme the anomaly will appear as an anomalous breaking of the latter identity.

As a specific and very important application we work out the implications of the anomaly for the gauge β -function in the Wess–Zumino gauge. There, the anomaly naturally appears as a breaking of supersymmetry in the Slavnov–Taylor identity. We show that the anomaly can be absorbed into the Slavnov–Taylor operator by modifying the supersymmetry transformations of the local gauge coupling. When we include the matter part a second modification appears due to the Adler–Bardeen anomaly of the axial current [8]. Then algebraic renormalization can be performed on the basis of the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator. The Callan–Symanzik equation is restricted by the symmetries of the theory, and we find, that algebraic consistency with the constraining Ward identity of the chiral and antichiral fields restricts the β -function of the local gauge coupling to one-loop order and that algebraic consistency with the the anomaly part of Slavnov–Taylor identity determines the two-loop term of the gauge β -function in terms of the anomaly coefficients and the anomalous mass dimension. Hence we find a closed expression of the gauge β -function in Super–Yang–Mills theories by passing over from a constant coupling to an external superfield.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In section 2 we introduce the classical action of supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories with the local gauge coupling and an axial vector multiplet. Section 3 is devoted to the supersymmetry anomaly: We give the defining symmetry relations and prove its scheme and gauge independence. In section 4 we construct the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor identity in the Wess–Zumino gauge and perform algebraic renormalization including the axial vector multiplet and the Adler–Bardeen anomaly in section 5. Finally as an application we derive the closed expression of the gauge β function from an algebraic construction of the Callan–Symanzik equation and the renormalization group equation. In the conclusions we discuss how our results elucidate previous ones, in particular those of [12, 3, 14], and how the notion of holomorphic dependence [4, 5] should be properly understood, if one takes local couplings seriously in quantum field theory. In appendix A we list the BRS transformations of fields, in appendix B the complete symmetric operators of the Callan–Symanzik equation and renormalization group equation. Conventions and notations are the ones given in appendix A of [8]

2 The classical action

We consider supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories with a simple gauge group as for example $SU(N)$ including chiral and antichiral matter multiplets $A_L^k, \bar{A}_L^k$ and $A_R^k, \bar{A}_R^k$,

$$A^k = \varphi^k + \sqrt{2}\theta^\alpha \psi_\alpha^k + F^k \theta^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A}^k = \bar{\varphi}^k + \sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^k \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{F}^k \bar{\theta}^2 \quad (1)$$

which transform under an irreducible representation of the gauge group:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\omega(x)}^{\text{gauge}} A_L^k &= i\omega_a(x)(T_a)^k{}_j A_L^j, & \delta_{\omega(x)}^{\text{gauge}} \bar{A}_{Lk} &= -i\omega_a(x)\bar{A}_{Lj}(T_a)^j{}_k, \\ \delta_{\omega(x)}^{\text{gauge}} \bar{A}_R^k &= i\omega_a(x)(T_a)^k{}_j \bar{A}_R^j, & \delta_{\omega(x)}^{\text{gauge}} A_{Rk} &= -i\omega_a(x)A_{Rj}(T_a)^j{}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$[T_a, T_b] = if_{abc}T_c. \quad (3)$$

We impose parity conservation and invariance under charge conjugation throughout the paper.

In the Wess–Zumino gauge the vector multiplet consists of the gauge fields, the gauginos and the auxiliary fields D :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^A &= \theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A^\mu - i\bar{\theta}^2\theta^\alpha\lambda_\alpha + i\theta^2\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D, \\ \phi^A &= \phi_a^A\tau_a \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Tr}(\tau_a\tau_b) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here τ_a are the matrices of the fundamental representation.

The classical action composed of these fields is invariant under non-abelian gauge transformations and the non-linear supersymmetry transformations of the Wess–Zumino gauge [15]

In a recent paper [8] the non-renormalization theorems of SQED and its implications for the renormalization group functions have been derived by extending the gauge coupling to an external real superfield and by taking into account softly broken axial symmetry with its anomaly. The classical construction is based on the supersymmetry transformations of the gauge invariant Lagrangians and can be used for the non-abelian gauge theories without modifications. In analogy to SQED we introduce in addition to the physical fields the following external field multiplets:

- We extend the gauge coupling g to an external field multiplet $G(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$, whose lowest component is the local gauge coupling $g(x)$. From the chiral and antichiral nature of the Yang–Mills Lagrangian it is seen that the supercoupling $G(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ is a constrained real superfield being composed of a chiral and an antichiral field multiplet $\boldsymbol{\eta}(x, \theta)$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x, \bar{\theta})$:

$$G(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = (\boldsymbol{\eta}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \equiv g(x) + \mathcal{O}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \quad (5)$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(x, \theta) = \eta + \theta^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \theta^2 f, \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x, \bar{\theta}) = \bar{\eta} + \theta_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\theta}^2 \bar{f} \quad (6)$$

in the chiral and antichiral representation, respectively.

- Supersymmetric gauge theories with a local gauge supercoupling are complete in the sense of multiplicative renormalization only when we include an axial multiplet (see eq. (79) and cf. also the discussion in [8]):

$$\phi^V = \theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} V^\mu - i \bar{\theta}^2 \theta^\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha + i \theta^2 \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4} \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 \tilde{D}. \quad (7)$$

The vector multiplet transforms as a singlet under the gauge group. The interaction of the axial vector field with the matter fields is governed by $U(1)$ axial symmetry.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\text{axial}} A_L^k &= -i \tilde{\omega}(x) A_L^k, & \delta_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\text{axial}} \bar{A}_L^k &= i \tilde{\omega}(x) \bar{A}_L^k, \\ \delta_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\text{axial}} A_R^k &= -i \tilde{\omega}(x) A_R^k, & \delta_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\text{axial}} \bar{A}_R^k &= i \tilde{\omega}(x) \bar{A}_R^k. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

- At the classical level axial symmetry is broken softly by the matter mass terms. For this reason we introduce a chiral and an antichiral field multiplet $\mathbf{q}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{q}}$ with dimension one:

$$\mathbf{q} = q + \theta q^\alpha + \theta^2 q_F \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathbf{q}} = \bar{q} + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{q}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\theta}^2 \bar{q}_F. \quad (9)$$

They transform with respect to gauge symmetry as singlets and with respect to axial symmetry with charge two including a shift in the lowest component:

$$\delta_{\tilde{\omega}}^{\text{axial}} \mathbf{q} = 2i \tilde{\omega}(\mathbf{q} + m). \quad (10)$$

When we couple the $\mathbf{q}$ -multiplets to the matter mass term, softly broken axial symmetry can be expressed as a Ward identity for the classical action.

The invariant classical action consists of the Yang-Mills part Γ_{YM} , the matter part Γ_{matter} and the matter mass term Γ_{mass} enlarged by the chiral and antichiral interaction of the $\mathbf{q}$ -multiplets Γ_q :

$$\Gamma_{\text{susy}} = \Gamma_{\text{YM}} + \Gamma_{\text{matter}} + (\Gamma_{\text{mass}} + \Gamma_q) \quad (11)$$

The individual parts are invariant under non-abelian gauge transformations with the local gauge coupling and $U(1)$ axial symmetry and they are supersymmetric. Using the superfield expressions for the field multiplets it is possible to express the invariant action as a superspace integral

$$\Gamma_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int dS \, \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} - \frac{1}{4} \int d\bar{S} \, \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{YM}} \quad (12)$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{16} \int dV \, \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \quad (13)$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{mass}} + \Gamma_q = -\frac{1}{4} \int dS \, (\mathbf{q} + m) \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} - \frac{1}{4} \int d\bar{S} \, (\bar{\mathbf{q}} + m) \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{mass}} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} \equiv \text{Tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha = W_a^\alpha W_{\alpha a} \, , \quad W_\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} \bar{D} \bar{D} (e^{-2g(x)\phi^A} D_\alpha e^{2g(x)\phi^A}) \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \bar{A}_{Lk} e^{-2g\phi_a^A (T_a)^k{}_j + 2\phi^V \delta^k{}_j} A_L^j + A_{Rk} e^{2g\phi_a^A (T_a)^k{}_j + 2\phi^V \delta^k{}_j} \bar{A}_R^j \, , \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = A_L^k A_{Rk} \, . \quad (17)$$

For vanishing axial vectors and in the limit to constant supercoupling $G(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \rightarrow g = \text{const.}$ the action (11) is the usual action of Super-Yang-Mills theories with matter:

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow g} \Gamma_{\text{susy}} \Big|_{\phi^V=0} = \Gamma_{\text{SYM}} \quad (18)$$

In the classical action, only the Yang-Mills part depends on the supercoupling via the chiral and antichiral multiplets $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$. The dependence of the matter part on the local gauge coupling $g(x)$ stems from a redefinition of the vector multiplet,

$$\phi^A \rightarrow g(x) \phi^A \, , \quad (19)$$

compared to the usual normalization. In perturbation theory such a redefinition is important for obtaining a bilinear free field action and associated free field propagators.²

² Alternatively, we could proceed in the conventional normalization but with a shift in the lowest component of the chiral multiplets. The implications of both constructions are equivalent.

In the present construction the chiral and antichiral fields $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ are not independent fields, but compose the real superfield of the supercoupling (see (5)). Therefore the imaginary part of their lowest components appears only with a total derivative:

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\overline{\eta}}\right)\Gamma_{\text{susy}} = -\frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu a}G_{\rho\sigma a} + i\partial_\mu(\lambda_a\sigma^\mu\overline{\lambda}_a) . \quad (20)$$

This property gives rise to the following identity

$$\int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\overline{\eta}}\right)\Gamma_{\text{susy}} = 0 . \quad (21)$$

In effect, this equation restricts the symmetric chiral counterterms to holomorphic functions of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ and has important implications for the renormalization properties of chiral Green functions and of the coupling.

For proceeding to higher orders of perturbation theory the invariant classical action has to be supplemented by the gauge fixing of gauge vector fields:

$$\Gamma_{\text{g.f.}} = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi(x) + \xi)B_a B_a + B_a \partial_\mu A_a^\mu + H^\mu B_a A_{\mu a}\right) \quad (22)$$

We have introduced a local gauge parameter $\xi(x)$ and an additional vector field H^μ for later use in the Callan–Symanzik equation.

The gauge fixing breaks non-abelian gauge invariance and supersymmetry. For this reason we have to combine the symmetry transformations of the fields into BRS-transformations [16, 17]. Introducing the Faddeev-Popov fields c_a , the axial ghost $\tilde{c}$ and the supersymmetry and translational ghosts ϵ^α , $\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and ω^μ the BRS-operator acts on the fields of the gauge invariant action (11) as

$$\mathbf{s}\phi = (\delta_{c(x)}^{\text{gauge}} + \delta_{\tilde{c}(x)}^{\text{axial}} + \epsilon^\alpha \delta_\alpha + \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\omega^\mu \partial_\mu)\phi . \quad (23)$$

BRS-transformations of the ghosts are determined by the structure constants of the algebra and the algebra of symmetry transformations is expressed in nilpotency of the BRS operator. The BRS transformations of the fields are summarized in appendix A.

By means of BRS transformations the gauge fixing can be extended by the ghost part to a BRS invariant action:

$$\Gamma_{\text{g.f.}} + \Gamma_{\text{ghost}} = \mathbf{s} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi\bar{c}B + \bar{c}\partial^\mu A_\mu + H^\mu \bar{c}_a A_{\mu a}\right) \quad (24)$$

The explicit form can be worked out with the BRS transformations of the appendix: The ghost part contains the usual Faddeev-Popov action of non-abelian

gauge theories and in addition compensating terms for supersymmetry depending on the supersymmetry ghosts ϵ_α and $\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ [16, 17, 18]. In addition, we have introduced a BRS partner χ_ξ to the gauge fixing parameter and a BRS partner C^μ to the field H^μ . By means of the BRS varying gauge parameter it is possible to identify gauge parameter independent quantities by their algebraic characterization as non-BRS variations [19, 20].

Adding an external field part Γ_{ext} for the non-linear BRS transformations of propagating fields (see (116)) one can express BRS invariance of the classical action and the algebra of symmetry transformations by the Slavnov–Taylor identity in the usual way. At this stage we finally eliminate the auxiliary fields D (4) and F_L and F_R and their complex conjugates (1) by their equations of motion. The complete classical action

$$\Gamma_{\text{cl}} = \Gamma_{\text{susy}} + \Gamma_{\text{g.f.}} + \Gamma_{\text{ghost}} + \Gamma_{\text{ext}} \quad (25)$$

satisfies the Slavnov–Taylor identity

$$\mathcal{S}(\Gamma_{\text{cl}}) = 0 \quad (26)$$

with the usual Slavnov–Taylor operator (see (117)) and it satisfies the identity (21)

$$\int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma_{\text{cl}} = 0 . \quad (27)$$

On the basis of the classical action loop calculations are immediately performed by treating the local coupling and its superpartners as external fields. Green functions with the local coupling are determined by differentiation with respect to the local coupling and performing the limit to constant coupling:

$$\Gamma_{g^n \varphi_{i_1} \dots \varphi_{i_m}}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) = \lim_{G \rightarrow g} \frac{\delta^{n+m} \Gamma}{\delta g(x_1) \dots \delta g(x_n) \delta \varphi_{i_1} \dots \delta \varphi_{i_m}} \Big|_{\varphi_i=0} \quad (28)$$

Here the fields φ_i summarize propagating and external fields of the theory.

The local gauge coupling $g(x)$ is distinguished from ordinary external fields by the property that it is the perturbative expansion parameter. For any 1PI Green function (28) the power of the constant gauge coupling is determined by the loop order l , by the number of amputated external legs $N_{\text{amp.legs}}$ and by the number of external field differentiations. This property can be expressed by the topological formula:

$$N_{g(x)} = N_{\text{amp.legs}} + N_Y + 2N_f + 2N_\chi + 2N_{\eta-\bar{\eta}} + 2(l-1) . \quad (29)$$

Here N_Y denotes the number of BRS insertions, and N_f , N_χ and $N_{\eta-\bar{\eta}}$ gives the number of insertions corresponding to the respective external fields and their complex conjugates. The topological formula is valid for the classical action with $l = 0$.

For constant coupling $g(x) \rightarrow g$ the 1PI Green functions are power series in the coupling and result for constant supercoupling $G \rightarrow g$ in the 1PI Green functions of ordinary supersymmetric gauge theories:

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow g} \Gamma \Big|_{\substack{\phi^V=0 \\ \tilde{c}=0}} = \Gamma^{\text{SYM}} . \quad (30)$$

Thus, renormalization properties derived from the extended theory are satisfied also for the ordinary Green functions of supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories.

3 The anomaly in Super–Yang–Mills theories with local gauge coupling

3.1 Characterization of the anomalous breaking

For the purpose of the present section we set the fields of the axial vector multiplet (7) and the axial ghost $\tilde{c}$ to zero and consider the renormalization of supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories with the local gauge supercoupling (5). In the procedure of renormalization the possible breakings of the defining symmetries have to be classified according to their properties as scheme dependent breaking terms and scheme independent breaking terms, the anomalies. Scheme dependent breakings are introduced in the procedure of renormalization via the subtraction of ultraviolet divergences and can be removed by adjusting suitable counterterms to the classical action. Anomalies arise from non-local contributions, which are not subject of renormalization, and cannot be absorbed into counterterms.

For the Adler–Bardeen anomaly, the distinguishing mark to scheme dependent breakings is its algebraic property as being not a gauge variation of a four-dimensional field monomial. For the supersymmetry anomaly found in the present paper the situation is different: From the algebra of supersymmetry transformations it is quite generally deduced that the breakings of supersymmetry are variations [21]. However, with local gauge coupling we find a breaking of supersymmetry which can be written as the supersymmetry transformation of a field monomial involving the logarithm of the coupling.

Indeed when we work out the the BRS variation of the gauge invariant term

$$\int d^4x \ln g(x)(L_{\text{YM}} + \bar{L}_{\text{YM}}) , \quad (31)$$

we find a breaking of supersymmetry, which depends on the logarithm of the coupling only via a total derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} &= \mathbf{s} \int d^4x \ln g(x)(L_{\text{YM}} + \bar{L}_{\text{YM}}) \\ &= (\epsilon^\alpha \delta_\alpha + \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}) \int d^4x \ln g(x)(L_{\text{YM}} + \bar{L}_{\text{YM}}) \\ &= \int d^4x \left(\ln g(x) i (\partial_\mu \Lambda_{\text{YM}}^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \epsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda_{\text{YM}}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} g^2(x) (\epsilon \chi + \bar{\chi} \bar{\epsilon}) (L_{\text{YM}} + \bar{L}_{\text{YM}}) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Here L_{YM} and Λ^α are the F and spinor component of the chiral multiplet $\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}}$ (15):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_a \lambda_a + \theta^\alpha \Lambda_\alpha + \theta^2 L_{\text{YM}} . \quad (33)$$

Hence, $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ is independent of $\ln g$ in the limit to constant coupling and for any differentiation with respect to the coupling (see (28)).

Since the perturbative expansion is a power series expansion in the coupling, the local field monomial (31) is not related to ultraviolet divergent diagrams. Thus the corresponding breaking results from non-local contributions, which are not subject of renormalization. For this reason it has to be considered as an anomaly of supersymmetry appearing in supersymmetric gauge theories with local gauge coupling.

Similarly, applying the identity (27) to the gauge invariant and supersymmetric expression

$$\frac{1}{4} \int dS \ln \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \frac{1}{4} \int d\bar{S} \ln \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{YM}} , \quad (34)$$

we find again an expression which is independent of a logarithm and can appear as a breaking of the identity (27):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\eta}-\bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}}^{\text{anomaly}} &= \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}(x)} - \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x)} \right) \left(\int dS \ln \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \int d\bar{S} \ln \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{YM}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\int dS \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \int d\bar{S} \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{YM}} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The field monomials $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ and $\Delta_{\eta-\bar{\eta}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ satisfy the topological formula in one loop-order and could appear both as breakings of the respective symmetry identities. However, we will show in the next section, that it is possible to add ordinary counterterms which are power series in the coupling in such a way, that either the Slavnov–Taylor identity or the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity is fulfilled in its classical form remaining with an anomalous breaking in the complementary identity, i.e. either

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma &= 0 , \\ \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) &= r_\eta^{(1)} \Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) ; \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma &= \frac{1}{2} r_\eta^{(1)} \Delta_{\eta-\bar{\eta}}^{\text{anomaly}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) , \\ \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

can be established.

The coefficient $r_\eta^{(1)}$ is shown to be the gauge and scheme independent. For the classical Lagrangian specified in (11) $r_\eta^{(1)}$ is determined independently from the matter interaction and takes the value

$$r_\eta^{(1)} = \frac{C(G)}{8\pi^2} . \quad (38)$$

For SQED it is zero as can be seen from eqs. (76) and (78) in ref. [8].

In the Wess–Zumino gauge the calculation of the anomaly coefficient is quite involved and requires to solve various equations of the Slavnov–Taylor identity for the unique definition of the anomalous field monomial. Details of the calculation are presented elsewhere and we restrict ourselves for the remaining part of the paper to work out its implications for the β -functions of Super–Yang–Mills theories. From this construction the coefficient is implicitly determined by the two-loop gauge β -function.

3.2 The algebraic construction

For a systematic construction of the anomalous breaking and its defining symmetries we use the quantum action principle and algebraic consistency. Therefrom we find that the breaking of the classical symmetries (26) and (27) is local

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) &= \Delta_{\text{brs}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) , \\ \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma &= \Delta_{\eta-\bar{\eta}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and restricted by the following consistency equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \Delta_{\text{brs}} &= 0 , \\ \mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \Delta_{\eta-\bar{\eta}} &= \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Delta_{\text{brs}} . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The power of the gauge coupling in the local field monomials Δ_{brs} and $\Delta_{\eta-\bar{\eta}}$ is determined by the topological formula (29).

In a first step we consider the field monomials contributing to $\Delta_{\eta-\bar{\eta}}$. As long as one does not care about the Slavnov–Taylor identity they can be trivially absorbed by adjusting local counterterms as power series in $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ and we get:

$$\int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma = 0 . \quad (41)$$

In the BPHZL scheme with ordinary $M(s-1)$ mass terms for massless fields and in dimensional regularization with MS-subtraction the identity (41) is fulfilled by construction since the insertions defined by $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ are total derivatives. Having established (41), the consistency equation (40) implies that Δ_{brs} depends on $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ only by a total derivative.

Considering now the field monomials in Δ_{brs} it is seen that the anomalous breaking $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ (32) is indeed a possible breaking of the Slavnov–Taylor identity in one-loop order: It is $\mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}}$ -invariant and it satisfies the topological formula. However, being the variation of the field monomial (31) it cannot be absorbed into a counterterm. $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ is the only anomalous breaking of the Slavnov–Taylor identity. The BRS variations of similar terms

$$\int d^4x g^k \ln g L_{\text{matter}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int d^4x g^k \ln g (L_{\text{mass}} + \bar{L}_{\text{mass}}) \quad (42)$$

depend on logarithms for $k \neq 0$. For $k = 0$ they do not satisfy the topological formula in loop order $l \geq 1$. Hence, the corresponding loop diagrams are absent and the BRS variations of (42) cannot appear as breakings of the Slavnov–Taylor identity.

Since the Adler–Bardeen anomaly of gauge symmetry is absent due to parity conservation the breaking $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ is the only term which cannot be absorbed as a counterterm and we have established:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) \Big|_{\substack{\phi^V=0 \\ \tilde{c}=0}} &= r_{\eta}^{(1)} \Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \\ \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Having identified the anomaly in the Slavnov–Taylor identity we can finally adjust counterterms in such a way that the Slavnov–Taylor identity is unbroken, and the anomaly is shifted to the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity. Using

$$\mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \left(\int dS \ln \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \int d\bar{S} \ln \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{kin}} \right) = 0 \quad (44)$$

and

$$\ln \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = -\ln(2g^2) + \ln(1 + g^2(\eta - \bar{\eta}) + 2\theta\chi g^2 + 2\theta^2 f g^2) \quad (45)$$

it is possible to rewrite $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ into

$$\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} = -\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \left(\int dS \ln(1 + g^2(\eta - \bar{\eta}) + 2\theta\chi g^2 + 2\theta^2 f g^2) \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \text{c.c.} \right) . \quad (46)$$

The counterterm

$$\Gamma_{\text{ct}, \eta - \bar{\eta}} = \frac{1}{8} r_{\eta}^{(1)} \int dS (\ln(1 + 2g^2(\eta - \bar{\eta}) + 2\theta\chi g^2 + \theta^2 f) \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \text{c.c.}) \quad (47)$$

is an admissible non-invariant counterterm: It does not involve logarithms of the coupling but contains an ordinary power series expansion in external fields. Changing

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma' = \Gamma + \Gamma_{\text{ct}, \eta - \bar{\eta}} \quad (48)$$

the anomalous breaking is removed from the Slavnov Taylor identity,

$$\mathcal{S}(\Gamma') = 0 . \quad (49)$$

but the identity (41) is broken in one-loop order by $\Delta_{\eta - \bar{\eta}}^{\text{anomaly}}$

$$\int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma' = \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma_{\text{ct}, \eta - \bar{\eta}} = \frac{1}{2} r_{\eta}^{(1)} \Delta_{\eta - \bar{\eta}}^{\text{anomaly}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \quad (50)$$

Hence, the anomaly of supersymmetric gauge theories with local gauge coupling can be transformed from one symmetry identity to another, but it cannot be arranged to vanish at all by adjusting a local counterterm in the action.

From general principles there is no preference for keeping the Slavnov–Taylor identity or the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity (27) in their classical form. Indeed, dependent on the gauge we will prefer one of the both alternatives: In the supersymmetric gauge it is appropriate to shift the anomaly into the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity loosing otherwise the simple notion of fields in superspace. In the Wess–Zumino gauge of the present paper, we take the anomaly in the Slavnov–Taylor identity and leave the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity unmodified to all orders. As we will show in section 4, this choice has the advantage, that the Slavnov–Taylor operator for the Adler–Bardeen anomaly of the $U(1)$ axial symmetry is strictly of one-loop order and takes the same form as in SQED.

3.3 Scheme and gauge independence of the anomaly

Using the BRS varying gauge parameter it is obvious from the construction that $r_\eta^{(1)}$ is gauge independent: When we include the gauge parameter into the field monomial (31) its BRS variation depends on the logarithm of the coupling in combination with the BRS partner of ξ :

$$\mathbf{s} \int d^4x \, \xi \ln g(x) (L_{\text{YM}} + \text{c.c.}) = \xi \Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} + \chi_\xi \int d^4x \, \ln g(x) (L_{\text{YM}} + \text{c.c.}) \quad (51)$$

Since diagrams with logarithms of the coupling are not present, we conclude:

$$\partial_\xi r_\eta^{(1)} = 0 \, . \quad (52)$$

Scheme independence of $r_\eta^{(1)}$ can be inferred as usual from the renormalization group equation: Introducing in one-loop order a scale parameter κ , which might be a normalization point or a scheme dependent scale as the unit mass μ in dimensional regularization or even a Wilsonian cutoff, the classical action is independent of the scale, i.e.

$$\kappa \partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\text{cl}} = 0 \, . \quad (53)$$

In one-loop order the equation is broken by local field monomials:

$$\kappa \partial_\kappa \Gamma_{\text{cl}} = \Delta_\kappa \quad (54)$$

Once more, since diagrams with logarithms of the coupling are absent, the field monomials in Δ_κ are polynomials in the coupling according to the topological formula. Using the consistency equation with the Slavnov–Taylor operator

$$\mathbf{s}_\Gamma \kappa \partial_\kappa (\Gamma) - \kappa \partial_\kappa \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = 0 \quad (55)$$

we find from (43):

$$\kappa \partial_\kappa r_\eta^{(1)} \Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} = \mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \Delta_\kappa \, . \quad (56)$$

By rewriting $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ into the $\mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}}$ -variation of the monomial (31), which involves the logarithm of the coupling, it is seen that the right- and left-hand-side of eq. (56) can only match if

$$\kappa \partial_\kappa r_\eta^{(1)} = 0 \, , \quad (57)$$

i.e. $r_\eta^{(1)}$ is scheme independent.

As it is seen in the following part of the paper, the one-loop anomaly implies the two loop order of the gauge β -function in pure Super–Yang–Mills theories, which would be in naive applications of classical symmetries or with vanishing $r_\eta^{(1)}$ indeed of order one-loop only without matter or SQED-like with matter.

From the characterization of the anomaly, as a scheme and gauge independent quantity, it is obvious that the anomaly appears independent from the procedure of regularization. Consequently an invariant scheme, which preserves gauge invariance and supersymmetry for Super–Yang–Mills theories with local gauge coupling, cannot exist. If one wants to remove the anomalous breaking from supersymmetry and the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity at the same time, the counterterm (31) with the logarithm of the coupling has to be adjusted with the scheme independent coefficient $r_\eta^{(1)}$. The addition of such a counterterm does not correspond to a re-definition of a loop subtraction and is in principle excluded from the perturbative construction. Doing this nevertheless, the perturbative expansion becomes much worse than the ordinary power series expansion since one starts a logarithmic series simultaneously with the ordinary power series in one loop order. In two-loop order the logarithmic series has the same anomaly as the perturbative series in one-loop order, starting there a $\ln^2$ -series in perturbation theory. All 1PI Green functions in $l \geq 2$ depend then on the logarithms of the coupling and the limit to constant coupling does not result in ordinary Super–Yang–Mills theories but in a quantum field theory defined by a logarithmic series in the coupling.

4 The Slavnov–Taylor operator of the anomaly

For proceeding with renormalization to higher orders the anomaly has to be absorbed in form of an operator into the Slavnov–Taylor identity (see (36)) or the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity (see (37)). Both alternatives are possible, for the present paper we use the version (36), where the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity is unbroken. Then the anomaly can be absorbed into the Slavnov–Taylor operator by modifying the supersymmetry transformations of the gauge coupling.

From its explicit expression (32) it is seen that we can rewrite $\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}}$ into the following operator form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{anomaly}} = & r_\eta^{(1)} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} g^5(x) (\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)} \right. \\ & \left. - 2i \frac{1}{g(x)} \partial_\mu g(x) \left((\sigma^\mu \bar{\epsilon})^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \chi^\alpha} + (\epsilon \sigma^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \right) \right) \Gamma_{\text{cl}} \\ & + \frac{r_\eta^{(1)}}{2} \text{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} g^4(x) (\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \text{Tr}((\rho^\mu - \partial^\mu \bar{c}) A_\mu - Y_c c) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Redefining Γ by the non-invariant counterterm

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma + \frac{r_\eta^{(1)}}{2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x (\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) g^4 ((\rho^\mu - \partial^\mu \bar{c}) A_\mu + Y_c c) \quad (59)$$

the redefined action satisfies the following modified Slavnov–Taylor identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) - r_\eta^{(1)} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} g^5(x) (\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)} \right. \\ \left. - 2i \frac{1}{g(x)} \partial_\mu g(x) ((\sigma^\mu \bar{\epsilon})^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \chi^\alpha} + (\epsilon \sigma^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}}) \right) \Gamma = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Apparently, the additional one-loop operators are just modifications of the supersymmetry transformations of the local gauge coupling.

For algebraic consistency nilpotency of the Slavnov–Taylor operator (119) has to be fulfilled also for the modified Slavnov–Taylor operator (60). In the present case, requiring nilpotency is nothing but establishing the supersymmetry algebra on the local coupling. A straightforward calculation proves that the supersymmetry algebra closes if we take the following modifications in the transformation of the gauge coupling and its fermionic superpartner

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^{r_\eta} g^2 &= -g^4 (\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) F(g^2) - i\omega^\nu \partial_\nu g^2 , \\ \mathbf{s}^{r_\eta} (\eta - \bar{\eta}) &= (\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) - i\omega^\nu \partial_\nu (\eta - \bar{\eta}) , \\ \mathbf{s}^{r_\eta} \chi^\alpha &= 2\epsilon^\alpha f + i(\sigma^\mu \bar{\epsilon})^\alpha (\partial_\mu g^2 \frac{1}{F(g^2)} + \partial_\mu (\eta - \bar{\eta}) - i\omega^\nu \partial_\nu \chi^\alpha , \\ \mathbf{s}^{r_\eta} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= -2\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{f} + i(\epsilon \sigma^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}} (\partial_\mu g^2 \frac{1}{F(g^2)} - \partial_\mu (\eta - \bar{\eta}) - i\omega^\nu \partial_\nu \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} , \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

leaving the BRS transformations of f and $\bar{f}$ unchanged (cf. (114)). The function $F(g^2)$ is a power series in the square of the coupling, i.e.

$$F(g^2) = 1 + r_\eta^{(1)} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4). \quad (62)$$

The transformation (61) involves an infinite power series via $1/F(g^2)$ in the supersymmetry transformation of the spinors, whenever the one-loop coefficient $r_\eta^{(1)}$ is non-vanishing.

The one-loop order in the expansion is determined by the anomaly coefficient and is scheme independent, it cannot be generated by a redefinition of the coupling. Higher orders correspond to a redefinition of the coupling and are scheme dependent. To prove this, we define a new coupling $\hat{g}$ by

$$\hat{g}^2 = \frac{g^2}{1 + r_\eta^{(1)} g^2 \ln g^2 + \sum_{l \geq 2} z^l g^{2l}} . \quad (63)$$

Evaluating $\mathbf{s}^{r_\eta} \hat{g}^2(g^2)$ it is seen that the anomalous one-loop term is removed in the supersymmetry transformation of g^2 . The coefficients $z^{(l)}, l \geq 2$ can be adjusted in such a way that the supersymmetry transformation of $\hat{g}$ takes the original form:

$$\delta_\alpha \hat{g}^2 = -\chi_\alpha \hat{g}^4 . \quad (64)$$

As for the counterterms the redefinition (63) with the logarithm of the coupling is in contradiction to the power series expansion of loop diagrams. Therefore, the one-loop term $r_\eta^{(1)}$ in the modified supersymmetry transformations (61) is unambiguously defined by one-loop diagrams of the theory, whereas the coefficient of order $l \geq 2$ correspond to finite redefinitions and are scheme dependent.

In general, these redefinitions are determined by normalization conditions on the gauge coupling. In the opposite way, fixing the higher orders of $F(g^2)$ in the Slavnov–Taylor identity determines the normalization of the coupling. Taking, for example, the transformations of spinors in (60) as exact, we have to choose

$$F(g^2) = \frac{1}{1 - r_\eta^{(1)} g^2} . \quad (65)$$

With this function we get finally the NSVZ expression for the gauge β -function [22]. Taking the transformation of g^2 in (60) as exact,

$$F(g^2) = 1 + r_\eta^{(1)} g^2 , \quad (66)$$

one obtains a gauge β -function which is vanishing in loop order $l \geq 3$ in pure Super–Yang–Mills. However, none of these choices is distinguished from other ones, as long as they are not related to a specific normalization condition for physical Green functions.

Modifying the BRS transformations of the local coupling $g(x)$ and its fermionic superpartners $\chi^\alpha, \chi^{\dot{\alpha}}$ according to eq. (61) an anomalous Slavnov–Taylor identity and the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity can be established to all orders:

$$\mathcal{S}^{r_\eta}(\Gamma) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma = 0 \quad (67)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^{r_\eta}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) - \int d^4x \left(g^4 \delta F(g^2) (\epsilon \chi + \bar{\chi} \bar{\epsilon}) \frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} \right. \\ \left. - i \frac{\delta F}{1 + \delta F} \partial_\mu g^2 (\sigma^\mu \bar{\epsilon})^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \chi^\alpha} + (\epsilon \sigma^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

and (see (61))

$$\delta F(g^2) \equiv F(g^2) - 1 = r_\eta^{(1)} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4) . \quad (69)$$

Since we have avoided to adjust counterterms with logarithms of the coupling, the perturbative expansion is an expansion in powers of the gauge coupling and an anomalous breaking as the one of the one-loop order is excluded in higher orders by the topological formula (see (42)).

5 Renormalization and non-renormalization theorems

For a complete description of supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories with matter we have to complement the defining symmetries of the model by the $U(1)$ axial symmetry. At the quantum level axial symmetry is broken by the Adler–Bardeen anomaly [13], which appears in the present construction as a second anomalous breaking of the Slavnov–Taylor identity in one-loop order [8]:

$$\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{axial}} \Big|_{\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}, \omega=0} = r^{(1)} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \tilde{c} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}(gA) G_{\rho\sigma}(gA) . \quad (70)$$

The anomaly coefficient $r^{(1)}$ is determined by the usual triangle diagrams:

$$r^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} C(G) . \quad (71)$$

We want to mention that in the present construction with local gauge coupling the non-renormalization theorem of the Adler–Bardeen anomaly [23] is a simple consequence of global axial symmetry and is not inferred from additional properties of the loop expansion.³ Taking into account the topological formula, the gauge anomaly of loop order l takes the general form:

$$\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{axial}(l)} \Big|_{\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}, \omega=0} = r^{(l)} \text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2(l-1)}(x) \tilde{c} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}(gA) G_{\rho\sigma}(gA) . \quad (72)$$

Only in one loop order the coefficient of the ghost is a total derivative, and for this reason the anomalous breaking appears in the Ward identity of global $U(1)$ axial symmetry for $l \geq 2$. Since the global symmetry is unbroken, the coefficients of the anomalous breaking vanish identically in loop order $l \geq 2$

$$r^{(l)} = 0 \quad \text{for } l \geq 2 . \quad (73)$$

³For a more detailed discussion see [8], where the same arguments have been used for the non-renormalization of the axial anomaly in SQED.

By means of the local gauge supercoupling the Adler–Bardeen anomaly with its supersymmetric extension can be expressed by a $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma$ -invariant operator acting on the classical action [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\text{brs}}^{\text{axial}} &= 4ir^{(1)} \int d^4x \left(\tilde{c} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) + 2i(\epsilon\sigma^\mu)^{\dot{\alpha}} V_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - 2i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\epsilon})^\alpha V_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta\chi^\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta f} - 2\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{f}} \right) \Gamma_{\text{cl}} \\ &\equiv -r^{(1)} \delta\mathcal{S} \Gamma_{\text{cl}} .\end{aligned}\tag{74}$$

In this form it can be included into an anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator and we end with the final version of the Slavnov–Taylor identity of Super–Yang–Mills theories with matter and with local gauge coupling:

$$\mathcal{S}^{r_\eta}(\Gamma) + r^{(1)} \delta\mathcal{S} \Gamma = 0.\tag{75}$$

Here $\delta\mathcal{S}$ is the operator expressing the Adler–Bardeen anomaly (74) and $\mathcal{S}^{r_\eta}(\Gamma)$ is the operator (67) which includes the modified supersymmetry transformations of the gauge coupling. The anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator has the same nilpotency properties as the classical Slavnov–Taylor operator (119).

The Slavnov–Taylor identity (75) is supplemented by the identity

$$\int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma = 0 .\tag{76}$$

Only if the Slavnov–Taylor identity is combined with the identity (76), the anomaly coefficient $r_\eta^{(1)}$ and its higher-order extensions and the operator $\delta\mathcal{S}$ are unambiguously determined.

On the basis of the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor identity (75) and the identity (76) the renormalization of supersymmetric gauge theories with local gauge coupling can be performed in algebraic renormalization independent from properties of a specific scheme. Obviously, it is not possible to find a general classical solution of the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor identity and, as a consequence, an invariant scheme cannot be constructed for supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories with local gauge coupling. Thus, the Slavnov–Taylor identity has to be solved order by order and established by adjusting non-invariant counterterms to the classical action, if necessary.

In each order there remain as undetermined coefficients the coefficients of symmetric counterterms, which are invariants of the classical symmetries:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}} \Gamma_{\text{ct,inv}} = 0 , \quad \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}} \right) \Gamma_{\text{ct,inv}} = 0 .\tag{77}$$

It is the remarkable feature of the construction with the local coupling that the gauge independent symmetric counterterms are restricted by the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity (76): For chiral integrals the symmetric counterterms are indeed holomorphic functions of the chiral fields $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ and symmetric counterterms to chiral vertices are absent. The only invariant counterterm with chiral integration which is left is the one-loop counterterm to the Yang-Mills part of the classical action:

$$\Gamma_{\text{ct,YM}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8} \left(\int dS \mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} + \int d\bar{S} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{YM}} \right) . \quad (78)$$

These restrictions reflect the specific renormalization properties of supersymmetric gauge theories [8] summarized in the non-renormalization theorems in superspace [10, 11, 12].

There appears a second gauge independent l -loop counterterm to the matter part of the action, which takes in superfield notation the form (cf. (16)):

$$\Gamma_{\text{ct,matter}}^{(l)} = \frac{1}{16} \int dV G^{2l} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} . \quad (79)$$

It is this counterterm, which enforced the introduction of the axial vector multiplet, since the expression (79) cannot be related to a field renormalization of matter fields as long as the coupling is local.

Due to the bilinear form of the Slavnov–Taylor identity there appear gauge dependent field redefinitions to the individual fields of the theory, i.e. these are field redefinitions of the vector fields and its superpartners, field redefinitions of the matter fields and a field redefinition of the Faddeev-Popov ghost c :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow (1 + \delta z_A) A , & \lambda &\rightarrow (1 + \delta z_\lambda) \lambda , & c &\rightarrow (1 + \delta z_c) c , \\ \psi_A &\rightarrow (1 + \delta z_\psi) \psi_A , & \varphi_A &\rightarrow (1 + \delta z_\varphi) \varphi_A , & A &= L, R \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

With local coupling we have in addition the following two generalized field redefinitions of Weyl spinors into scalar superpartners:

$$\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_\alpha + \delta z_{\lambda A} i(\sigma^\mu \bar{\chi})_\alpha A_\mu , \quad \psi_\alpha \rightarrow \psi_\alpha + \delta z_{\psi \varphi} \chi_\alpha \varphi \quad (81)$$

The z -factors in (80) and (81) are power series in the local coupling

$$\delta z_a \equiv \delta z_a(g^2) = \sum_{l \geq 1} \hat{z}_a^{(l)} g^{2l}(x) . \quad (82)$$

The corresponding counterterms are $\mathbf{s}_{\Gamma_{\text{cl}}}$ -variations and are best expressed in the form of symmetric differential operators acting on the classical action. These symmetric operators are generalized field counting operators and can be found in their explicit form in appendix B (125) – (131).

In general the symmetric counterterms of loop order l are a linear combination of the two gauge independent counterterms (78) and (79) and the counterterms of field redefinitions (80) and (81). Here we want to discuss the limit to constant coupling. For constant coupling it is possible to rewrite the matter counterterm (79) into a mass and q -field renormalization and field redefinitions of matter fields, similarly the counterterm to the Yang–Mills part can be rewritten into the coupling renormalization and field redefinitions and we obtain for constant coupling the following invariant counterterms:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{G \rightarrow g} \Gamma_{\text{ct,inv}}^{(l)} = & \delta z_g^{(1)} g^2 \partial_{g^2} + \delta z_A^{(l)} (N_A + N_\rho + N_B + N_{\bar{c}} - 2\xi \partial_\xi) \\ & + \delta z_\lambda^{(l)} (N_\lambda - N_{Y_\lambda}) + \delta z_c^{(l)} (N_c - N_{Y_c}) \\ & + \delta z_m^{(l)} (N_q + N_{q^\alpha} + N_{q_F} + m \partial_m) \\ & + \delta z_\varphi^{(l)} (N_\varphi - N_{Y_\varphi}) + \delta z_\psi^{(l)} (N_\psi - N_{Y_\psi}) \Big) \Gamma_{\text{cl}}^{\text{SYM}}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

In this expression the non-renormalization theorems are reflected in the common gauge independent z -factor for mass and q -field renormalization and in the absence of higher-order renormalizations to the coupling constant.

For a unique definition of 1PI Green functions the free parameters appearing in (83) have to be fixed by the normalization conditions on the residua of fields, on the matter mass term and by a normalization condition on the coupling in one-loop order. Normalization conditions on the coupling g in $l \geq 2$ determine the coefficients $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$ of the function $\delta F(g^2) = r_\eta^{(1)} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4)$ in the Slavnov–Taylor identity (75). With a specific choice for $\delta F(g^2)$ the normalization of the coupling is determined by the Slavnov–Taylor identity.

6 The closed form of the gauge β -function from the anomalous breaking of supersymmetry

The simplest application of the present construction is the determination of the gauge β -function. Since an invariant scheme does not exist the β -functions cannot be inferred from the symmetric counterterms or a local effective action, but one has to construct the corresponding partial differential equations, the Callan–Symanzik equation and the renormalization group equation by algebraic consistency with the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator (75).

The Callan–Symanzik equation is the partial differential equation connected with the breaking of dilatation. The operator of dilatations acts on the Green functions in the same way as a scaling of all mass parameters of the theory according to their mass dimension:

$$\mathcal{W}^D \Gamma = -(m\partial_m + \kappa\partial_\kappa)\Gamma \equiv -\mu\partial_\mu . \quad (84)$$

κ is a normalization point introduced for defining infrared divergent Green function off-shell. The variation of the scale parameter κ implies the renormalization group equation.

The Callan–Symanzik equation continues the classical equation

$$\mu D_\mu \Gamma_{\text{cl}} \equiv \mu\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\text{cl}} - m \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta q} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{q}} \right) \Gamma_{\text{cl}} = 0 \quad (85)$$

to higher orders expressing the hard breakings of dilatations in form of invariant operators. Hence, the Callan–Symanzik operator $\mathcal{C}$

$$\mathcal{C} = \mu D_\mu + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \quad (86)$$

is a linear combination of the symmetric operators of the theory and satisfies the consistency equations:

$$(s_\Gamma^{r_\eta} + r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{S})\mathcal{C}\Gamma = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^{r_\eta}(\Gamma) + r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{S}\Gamma) + (s_\Gamma^{r_\eta} + r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{S})\Delta_Y , \quad (87)$$

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}} \right), \mathcal{C} \right] = 0 . \quad (88)$$

In (87) the expression Δ_Y is defined to be a collection of field monomials which are linear in propagating fields. As such, Δ_Y appears as a trivial insertion and does not need to be absorbed into an operator. The loop expansion of the Callan–Symanzik operator is a power series in the local coupling satisfying the topological formula (29).

For the construction we first have to find the symmetric operators of the classical Slavnov–Taylor operator $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ and have to extend them to symmetric operators with respect to the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator $\mathcal{S}^{r_\eta}(\Gamma) + r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{S}\Gamma$ (75).

When applied to the classical action the s_Γ -invariant operators just have to reproduce the symmetric counterterms constructed in the last section. Accordingly there are only two gauge independent operators, one which corresponds to the one-loop counterterm $\Gamma_{\text{ct,YM}}$ (78) and one corresponding to the l -loop counterterms $\Gamma_{\text{ct,matter}}$ (79).

$\Gamma_{\text{ct,YM}}$ is related to the one-loop renormalization of the local coupling and $\Gamma_{\text{ct,matter}}$ is related to a field redefinition of the axial vector multiplet into components of the local supercoupling and the redefinition of the q -multiplet (for details see [8])

$$\Gamma_{\text{ct,YM}} = g^4 \frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} \Gamma_{\text{cl}} + \text{field redef.} \quad (89)$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{ct,matter}} = \mathcal{D}_{V_v} \Gamma_{\text{cl}} + \text{field redef.} \quad (90)$$

The $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma$ -invariant operator $\mathcal{D}_{V_v}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{V_v}^{(l)} \equiv \int d^4x \left(v^{(G^{2l})\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta V^\mu} + \lambda^{(G^{2l})\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}^\alpha} + \bar{\lambda}^{(G^{2l})\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\bar{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \right. \\ + d^{(G^{2l})} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{D}} - i(\epsilon^\alpha \chi_\alpha^{(G^{2l})} - \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(G^{2l})} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{C}} \\ - 2g^{2l}(q+m) \frac{\delta}{\delta q} - 2(g^{2l}q^\alpha + 2\chi^{(G^{2l})\alpha}(q+m)) \frac{\delta}{\delta q^\alpha} \\ - 2(g^{2l}q_F + 2f^{(G^{2l})}(q+m) - \chi^{(G^{2l})\alpha}q_\alpha) \frac{\delta}{\delta q_F} \\ - 2g^{2l}(\bar{q}+m) \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{q}} - 2(g^{2l}\bar{q}^{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\bar{\chi}^{(G^{2l})\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{q}+m)) \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{q}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \\ \left. - 2(g^{2l}\bar{q}_F + 2\bar{f}^{(G^{2l})}(\bar{q}+m) - \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(G^{2l})}\bar{q}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{q}_F} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

In this expression the components of the multiplet G^{2l} are defined by the following expansion of the local supercoupling in superspace :

$$\begin{aligned} G^{2l}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= (\boldsymbol{\eta}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}))^{-l} \\ &\equiv g^{2l}(x) + \theta^\alpha \chi_\alpha^{(G^{2l})} + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(G^{2l})} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^2 f^{(G^{2l})} + \bar{\theta}^2 \bar{f}^{(G^{2l})} + \theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} v_\mu^{(G^{2l})} \\ &\quad + i\theta^2 (\bar{\lambda}^{(G^{2l})} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi^{\sigma\mu}) \bar{\theta} - i\bar{\theta}^2 \theta (\lambda^{(G^{2l})} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\chi}^{(G^{2l})}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 (d^{(G^{2l})} - \square g^{2l}) \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

The two $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma$ -invariant operators (89) and (90) have to be extended to symmetric operators with respect to the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta} + r^{(1)}\delta S$.

For the operator $\int d^4x g^4 \delta_{g^2}$ (89) the extension can be determined straightforwardly. It turns out that the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{kin}} = \int d^4x g^4 F(g^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} = \int d^4x g^4 (1 + r_\eta^{(1)} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)) \frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} \quad (93)$$

is symmetric with respect to the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator (75). And the function $F(g^2)$ is the function modifying the classical supersymmetry transformations of the coupling due to the anomaly (61).

It is more involved to extend $\mathcal{D}_{V_v}$ (90) to a symmetric operator with respect to the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor operator. The corresponding operator for $r_\eta = 0$ has been already constructed in SQED [8]. From this operator the extension can be determined straightforwardly: In a first step we extend $\mathcal{D}_{V_v}$ to a $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta}$ -invariant operator by replacing the components of the local supercoupling in (91) by the components of the local supercoupling with anomalous supersymmetry transformations:

$$\mathcal{D}_{V_v}(G^{2l}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{V_v}^{r_\eta} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{V_v}(\tilde{G}^{2l}) \quad (94)$$

and the components of $\tilde{G}$ are determined by the anomalous supersymmetry transformations (61)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha^{r_\eta} \tilde{G}^{2l} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} + i(\sigma \bar{\theta})_\alpha \partial_\mu \right) \tilde{G}^{2l} , \\ \bar{\delta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{r_\eta} \tilde{G}^{2l} &= - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i(\theta \sigma)_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu \right) \tilde{G}^{2l} . \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

For the further calculation only the lowest components of the anomalous multiplet of the supercoupling are relevant:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\alpha^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} &= -l g^{2(l+1)} F(g^2) \chi_\alpha , & \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} &= -l g^{2(l+1)} F(g^2) \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \\ v_\mu^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} &= l g^{2(l+1)} \left(F(g^2) i \partial_\mu (\eta - \bar{\eta}) + \frac{g^2}{2} ((l+1) F(g^2) + g^2 F'(g^2)) \chi \sigma_\mu \bar{\chi} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

The $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta}$ -invariant operator $\mathcal{D}_{V_v}^{r_\eta}$ (94) can be extended to a symmetric operator $\mathcal{D}_{V_v}^{\text{sym}}$ in the same way as in SQED. For proving consistency of the method we have determined the complete symmetric operator. For constant coupling only the following commutator is relevant:

$$\left[r^{(1)} \delta \mathcal{S}, -i \int d^4 x \left(\epsilon \chi^{(\tilde{E}^{2l})} - \bar{\chi}^{(\tilde{E}^{2l})} \bar{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{c}} \right] \Big|_{\substack{v=0 \\ \tilde{c}=0}} \quad (97)$$

$$= \int d^4 x \left(\epsilon \chi^{(\tilde{E}^{2l})} - \bar{\chi}^{(\tilde{E}^{2l})} \bar{\epsilon} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta} - \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}} \right) . \quad (98)$$

It can be compensated by a differential operator with respect to the coupling:

$$D_{g^2}^{(l+1)} = \int d^4 x \left(F(g^2) g^{2(l+2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} + \chi^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} \frac{\delta}{\delta \chi} + \bar{\chi}^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\chi}} + \dots \right) . \quad (99)$$

and results in a contribution to the β -function of the coupling generated by the Adler–Bardeen anomaly.

The complete operator $\mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{\text{sym}}$

$$\mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{\text{sym}} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{(l)} - 8r^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}_{g^2}^{(l+1)} + l(\mathcal{N}_V^{(l+1)} - 8(l+1)r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{N}_V^{(l+2)})), \quad (100)$$

contains in addition a field operator for the axial vector multiplet $\mathcal{N}_V$ which also depends on the function $F(g^2)$. The complete operator is given in the appendix B.

All further symmetric operators of the Callan–Symanzik operator are gauge dependent. They correspond to the field redefinitions (80) and (81) and can be constructed as $\mathbf{s}_r^{\eta} + r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{S}$ -variations of local field monomials (125) – (131). In the limit to constant coupling they are the usual field counting operators.

Altogether the Callan–Symanzik operator is a linear combination of the two gauge independent operator $\mathcal{D}_{\text{kin}}$ (93) and $\mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{\text{sym}}$ (100) and the gauge dependent operator $\mathcal{N}_a$ (125) – (131):

$$\mathcal{C} = \mu_i D_{\mu_i} + \hat{\beta}_{g^2}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}_{\text{kin}} + \sum_l \left(\gamma^{(l)} \mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{\text{sym}(l+1)} - \sum_a \hat{\gamma}_a^{(l)} \mathcal{N}_a^{(l)} \right). \quad (101)$$

Here the sum over a includes all possible field redefinitions. The algebraic construction yields the Callan–Symanzik equation supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories with local gauge coupling and with the axial vector multiplet:

$$\mathcal{C}\Gamma = \Delta_Y. \quad (102)$$

It is valid for all 1PI Green functions involving propagating fields and describes also the scale transformations of axial current Green functions in presence of the anomaly.

In its structure the Callan–Symanzik equation of non-abelian gauge theories is the same as the one of SQED, in particular there is only a one-loop independent coefficient for the renormalization of the gauge coupling. The difference concerns the explicit expressions depending here on the non-vanishing anomaly coefficient $r_\eta^{(1)}$ via the function $F(g^2)$.

Here we are mainly interested in the limit to constant coupling: For constant coupling we get from (101) and (102) the Callan–Symanzik equation of supersymmetric non-abelian gauge theories:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mu_i \partial_{\mu_i} + g^4 F(g^2) (\hat{\beta}_{g^2}^{(1)} + 8r^{(1)}\gamma) \partial_{g^2} - \sum_a \gamma_a \hat{\mathcal{N}}_a \right) \Gamma^{\text{SYM}} \\ &= (1 - 2\gamma) \int d^4x \, m \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta q} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{q}} \right) \Gamma \Big|_{\substack{q^i=0 \\ v^i=0}} + \Delta_Y. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

and the β -function is determined by the operator $\beta_{g^2}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}_{\text{kin}} + 8r^{(1)} \hat{\gamma} \mathcal{D}_{g^2}$ in a closed form:

$$\beta_{g^2} = (\hat{\beta}_{g^2}^{(1)} + 8r^{(1)}\gamma)g^4 F(g^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = \sum_l g^{2l} \hat{\gamma}^{(l)} . \quad (104)$$

Thus, in $l \geq 2$ the gauge β -function of order $l + 1$ is completely determined from a complete l -loop calculation. This amounts to determine the order l of $F(g^2)$ in the Slavnov–Taylor identity and the l -loop anomalous mass dimension. In particular, the two-loop order is determined by the anomaly coefficient $r_\eta^{(1)}$, the coefficient of the Adler–Bardeen anomaly $r^{(1)}$ and the scheme independent coefficient $\gamma^{(1)}$. Higher orders are scheme dependent.

When we choose in the Slavnov–Taylor identity the function $F(g^2) = \frac{1}{1 - r_\eta^{(1)} g^2}$ (65) we get the NSVZ-formula of the gauge β -function [22]:

$$\beta_{g^2}^{\text{NSVZ}} = (\hat{\beta}_{g^2}^{(1)} + 8r^{(1)}\gamma)g^4 \frac{1}{1 - r_\eta^{(1)} g^2} . \quad (105)$$

For the choice $F(g^2) = 1 + r_\eta^{(1)} g^2$ (66) we obtain a purely two-loop function in Super–Yang–Mills theories without matter:

$$\beta_{g^2}^{\text{min}} = (\hat{\beta}_{g^2}^{(1)} + 8r^{(1)}\gamma)g^4(1 + r_\eta^{(1)} g^2) . \quad (106)$$

The renormalization group equation can be determined in the same way as the Callan–Symanzik equation and consists of the same number and same types of symmetric operators implying the same relation among them in the limit to constant coupling. The β -functions of the renormalization group equation are scheme dependent already in one-loop order. To find the relations to the β -functions of the Callan–Symanzik equation, which are usually quoted, one has to take asymptotic normalization conditions, which result in a trivial equation for the scaling of the supersymmetric mass parameter [24, 9]:

$$m \partial_m \Gamma = m \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta q} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{q}} \right) \Gamma . \quad (107)$$

With this equation it is possible to eliminate the field differentiation δ_q and $\delta_{\bar{q}}$ in (103) for constant coupling and one obtains the renormalization group equation for asymptotic normalization conditions:

$$(\kappa_\infty \partial_{\kappa_\infty} + g^4 F(g^2) (\hat{\beta}_{g^2}^{(1)} + 8r^{(1)}\gamma) \partial_{g^2} + 2\gamma m \partial_m - \sum_a \gamma_a \hat{\mathcal{N}}_a) \Gamma^{\text{SYM}} = 0 , \quad (108)$$

It is a homogeneous differential equation for the 1PI vertex functional of susy gauge theories with constant coupling, and it contains the same coefficient functions as the Callan–Symanzik equation. The renormalization group equation can be integrated and defines a running gauge coupling to higher orders. It is tempting to use an all order expression as (105) or (106) for the integration, however, an interpretation of the corresponding running coupling is meaningless, as long as it is not related to specific normalization properties of the coupling.

7 Conclusions

In the present paper we have continued the algebraic analysis of non-renormalization theorems via local couplings [7, 8] to supersymmetric Yang–Mills theories. As a result of the construction one obtains the generalized non-renormalization theorem of the gauge coupling, which gives rise to a pure one-loop β -function. But in addition we find an anomalous breaking of supersymmetry in one-loop order, and it is the supersymmetry anomaly which is responsible for the two-loop term of the gauge β -function in supersymmetric pure Yang–Mills theories.

Higher-order terms of the gauge β -function depend on the normalization conditions for the coupling. But if one performs a complete l -loop calculation in Super–Yang–Mills with local coupling, the gauge β -function of order $l + 1$ is unambiguously determined in terms of lower order coefficients. Such coefficients are in particular the higher-order modifications of supersymmetry transformations in the Slavnov–Taylor identity and we find the conditions for the NSVZ expression of the β -function as conditions on the supersymmetry transformation of the gauge coupling.

Hence, one can now derive the closed expression of the gauge β -function which has been first found in the framework of the instanton calculus [22] in a purely perturbative and even scheme independent framework.

Without local coupling the improved renormalization properties alias the non-renormalization theorems notoriously used to escape a rigorous treatment in perturbation theory, and just the same happened for the closed expression of the gauge β -function. One way to find restrictions on the β -function is the usage of the supercurrent with its anomalies. From this construction one finds a similar but not as restrictive expression of the gauge β -function [25, 26] as in the instanton calculus, which takes into account possible redefinitions suppressed in the latter derivation but found in the derivation with local couplings again. The construction of the supercurrent is beyond the scope of the present paper, but the supercurrent and its anomaly multiplet is an important topic, which should be reanalyzed with the local coupling again.

Another perturbative approach to the closed expression of the gauge β -function is based on holomorphicity of the Wilsonian effective action [12, 3, 5]. Introducing the notion of a Wilsonian coupling the Wilsonian effective action of the Yang–Mills part is exhausted in one-loop order and one obtains indeed an indication of the generalized non-renormalization theorem for the gauge coupling. To explain the higher-order terms in the gauge β -function one has used again the construction of the supercurrent and the Adler–Bardeen anomaly in its supersymmetric extension [25, 27], but the higher-order terms of the β -function in pure Super–Yang–Mills remain mysterious in the Wilsonian approach. There are attempts to explain them by passing over from the Wilsonian coupling in the Wilsonian effective action to a canonical coupling constant by rescaling the vector fields [14], but in effect such redefinitions would be scheme dependent.

Holomorphicity as used in the Wilsonian approach can be used in its full extent only if the coupling is treated as a space-time dependent external superfield and even then, holomorphicity is not a principle of quantum field theory, but can be valid at most for some local parts of the full Green functions. The Wilsonian coupling can be compared to the chiral and the antichiral fields composing the gauge supercoupling in the present paper, however, these fields are here introduced in a completely scheme independent framework. Performing renormalization in presence of the space-time dependent gauge supercoupling consistently to its very end, holomorphicity of symmetric counterterms is a consequence of classical symmetries and non-holomorphicity of the gauge β -function arises from the two anomalies of these classical symmetries, from the anomaly of supersymmetry found in the present paper and from the Adler–Bardeen anomaly of the axial current. Hence, the non-holomorphic dependence of the gauge β -function is deeply related to the failure of invariant schemes and of a naive application of multiplicative renormalization via z -factors in presence of the local gauge coupling.

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Flume and D. Stöckinger for many valuable discussions. We are grateful to the Max–Planck–Institut für Physik, Munich, for kind hospitality where the final version of the paper has been worked out.

A The BRS transformations

In this appendix we list the BRS transformations of all fields introduced in classical action with local gauge coupling and with the axial vector multiplet.

- BRS transformations of the gauge vector multiplet

$$\begin{aligned}
sA_\mu &= \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu gc + i[A_\mu, c] + i\epsilon\sigma_\mu\bar{\lambda} - i\lambda\sigma_\mu\bar{\epsilon} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}g^2(\epsilon\chi + \bar{\chi}\bar{\epsilon})A_\mu - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu A_\mu, \\
s\lambda^\alpha &= -i\{\lambda, c\} + \frac{i}{2g}(\epsilon\sigma^{\rho\sigma})^\alpha G_{\rho\sigma}(gA) + i\epsilon^\alpha g(\bar{\varphi}_L T_a \varphi_L - \varphi_R T_a \bar{\varphi}_R)\tau_a \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^\alpha g^2(\chi\lambda - \bar{\chi}\bar{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2}g^2(\epsilon\chi + \bar{\chi}\bar{\epsilon})\lambda^\alpha - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\lambda^\alpha, \\
s\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= -i\{\bar{\lambda}, c\} + \frac{-i}{2g}(\bar{\epsilon}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\sigma})_{\dot{\alpha}} G_{\rho\sigma}(gA) + ig\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\varphi}_L T_a \varphi_L - \varphi_R T_a \bar{\varphi}_L)\tau_a \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}} g^2(\chi\lambda - \bar{\chi}\bar{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2}g^2(\epsilon\chi + \bar{\chi}\bar{\epsilon})\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

- BRS transformations of the axial vector multiplet

$$\begin{aligned}
sV_\mu &= \partial_\mu\tilde{c} + i\epsilon\sigma_\mu\tilde{\bar{\lambda}} - i\tilde{\lambda}\sigma_\mu\bar{\epsilon} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu V_\mu, \\
s\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha &= \frac{i}{2}(\epsilon\sigma^{\rho\sigma})^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}(V) + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^\alpha \tilde{D} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha, \\
s\tilde{\bar{\lambda}}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= \frac{-i}{2}(\bar{\epsilon}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\sigma})_{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\rho\sigma}(V) + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{D} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\tilde{\bar{\lambda}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \\
s\tilde{D} &= 2\epsilon\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\tilde{\bar{\lambda}} + 2\partial_\mu\tilde{\lambda}\sigma^\mu\bar{\epsilon} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\tilde{D}.
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

- BRS transformations of matter fields

$$\begin{aligned}
s\varphi_L &= i(gc_a T_a - \tilde{c})\varphi_L + \sqrt{2}\epsilon\psi_L - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\varphi_L, \\
s\bar{\varphi}_L &= -i\bar{\varphi}_L(gc_a T_a - \tilde{c}) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}_L\bar{\epsilon} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\bar{\varphi}_L, \\
s\psi_L^\alpha &= i(gc_a T_a - \tilde{c})\psi_L^\alpha \\
&\quad - \sqrt{2}\epsilon^\alpha(\bar{q} + m)\bar{\varphi}_R - \sqrt{2}i(\bar{\epsilon}\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^\alpha D_\mu\varphi_L - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\psi_L^\alpha, \\
s\bar{\psi}_{L\dot{\alpha}} &= i\bar{\psi}_{L\dot{\alpha}}(gT_r c_r - \tilde{c}) \\
&\quad + \sqrt{2}\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}(q + m)\varphi_R + \sqrt{2}i(\epsilon\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}} D_\mu\bar{\varphi}_L - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\bar{\psi}_{L\dot{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

and respective expressions for right-handed fields.

- The BRS transformations of ghosts

$$\begin{aligned}
sc &= -igc c + 2i\epsilon\sigma^\nu\bar{\epsilon}A_\nu + \frac{1}{2}g^2(\epsilon\chi + \bar{\chi}\bar{\epsilon})c - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu c , \\
s\tilde{c} &= 2i\epsilon\sigma^\nu\bar{\epsilon}V_\nu - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\tilde{c} , \\
s\epsilon^\alpha &= 0 , \\
s\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= 0 , \\
s\omega^\nu &= 2\epsilon\sigma^\nu\bar{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

- BRS transformations of the B -field, anti-ghost and gauge parameter

$$\begin{aligned}
sB &= 2i\epsilon\sigma^\nu\bar{\epsilon}\partial_\nu\bar{c} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu B , \\
s\bar{c} &= B - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\bar{c} , \\
s\chi_\xi &= 2i\epsilon\sigma^\nu\bar{\epsilon}\partial_\nu\xi - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\chi_\xi , \\
s\xi &= \chi_\xi - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\xi , \\
sC^\mu &= 2i\epsilon\sigma^\nu\bar{\epsilon}\partial_\nu H^\mu - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu C^\mu , \\
sH^\mu &= C^\mu - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu H^\mu .
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

- BRS transformations of the local coupling and its superpartners (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
sg^2 &= -(\epsilon^\alpha\chi_\alpha + \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}})g^4 - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu g^2 , \\
s(\eta - \bar{\eta}) &= (\epsilon^\alpha\chi_\alpha - \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}) - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu(\eta - \bar{\eta}) , \\
s\chi_\alpha &= -i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\epsilon})_\alpha\left(\frac{1}{g^4}\partial_\mu g^2 - \partial_\mu(\eta - \bar{\eta})\right) + 2\epsilon_\alpha f - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\chi_\alpha , \\
s\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= -i(\epsilon\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{g^4}\partial_\mu g^2 + \partial_\mu(\eta - \bar{\eta})\right) - 2\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{f} - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \\
sf &= i\partial_\mu\chi\sigma^\mu\bar{\epsilon} - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu f , \\
s\bar{f} &= -i\epsilon\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\chi} - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{f} .
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

- BRS transformations of q -multiplets (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
sq &= +2i\tilde{c}(q + m) + \epsilon^\alpha q_\alpha - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu q , \\
s\bar{q} &= -2i\tilde{c}(\bar{q} + m) + \bar{q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\omega^\nu\partial_\nu\bar{q} , \\
sq_\alpha &= +2i\tilde{c}q_\alpha + 2i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\epsilon})_\alpha D_\mu(q + m) + 2\epsilon_\alpha q_F - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu q_\alpha , \\
s\bar{q}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= -2i\tilde{c}\bar{q}_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2i(\epsilon\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}} D_\mu(\bar{q} + m) - 2\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{q}_F - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{q}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \\
sq_F &= +2i\tilde{c}q_F + iD_\mu q^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 4i\bar{\tilde{\lambda}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}(q + m) - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu q_F , \\
s\bar{q}_F &= -2i\tilde{c}\bar{q}_F - i\epsilon^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu D_\mu\bar{q}^{\dot{\alpha}} + 4i\epsilon^\alpha\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha(\bar{q} + m) - i\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{q}_F .
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Supplementing the classical action by the external field part,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\text{ext}} = & \int d^4x \left(\rho_a^\mu \mathbf{s} A_{\mu a} + Y_{\lambda a}^\alpha \mathbf{s} \lambda_{\alpha a} + Y_{\bar{\lambda} \dot{a} a} \mathbf{s} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^\alpha + Y_{ca} \mathbf{s} c_a \right. \\ & + Y_{\varphi_L} \mathbf{s} \varphi_L + Y_{\bar{\varphi}_L} \mathbf{s} \bar{\varphi}_L + Y_{\psi_L}^\alpha \mathbf{s} \psi_{L\alpha} + Y_{\bar{\psi}_L \dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{s} \bar{\psi}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} + (L \rightarrow R) \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} (Y_{\lambda a} \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon} Y_{\bar{\lambda} a}) (Y_{\lambda a} \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon} Y_{\bar{\lambda} a}) - 2((Y_{\psi_L} \epsilon)(\bar{\epsilon} Y_{\bar{\psi}_L}) + (L \rightarrow R)) \right), \quad (116)\end{aligned}$$

the classical Slavnov–Taylor identity (26) expresses in functional form BRS invariance of the classical action and on-shell nilpotency of BRS transformations. The Slavnov–Taylor operator acting on a general functional $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) = & \int d^4x \left(\text{Tr} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \rho_\mu} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta A^\mu} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_{\lambda\alpha}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \lambda^\alpha} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_{\bar{\lambda}\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}} \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_c} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta c} + \mathbf{s} B \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta B} + \mathbf{s} \bar{c} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \bar{c}} \Big) \\ & + \mathbf{s} \xi \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \xi} + \mathbf{s} \chi_\xi \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \chi_\xi} + \mathbf{s} H^\mu \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta H^\mu} + \mathbf{s} C_\mu \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta C_\mu} \\ & + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_{\varphi_L}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \varphi_L} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_{\bar{\varphi}_L}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_L} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_{\psi_L\alpha}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \psi_L^\alpha} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta Y_{\bar{\psi}_L\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \bar{\psi}_L^{\dot{\alpha}}} + (L \rightarrow R) \Big) \\ & \left. + \mathbf{s} G^i \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta G^i} + \mathbf{s} V^i \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta V^i} + \mathbf{s} q^i \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta q^i} + \mathbf{s} \bar{q}^i \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \bar{q}^i} \right) + \mathbf{s} \omega^\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \omega^\nu}. \quad (117)\end{aligned}$$

Here G^i summarizes the components of the local supercoupling,

$$G^i = (g^2, \eta - \bar{\eta}, \chi, \bar{\chi}, f, \bar{f}) \quad (118)$$

V^i the fields of the axial vector multiplet and q^i and $\bar{q}^i$ the fields of the chiral and antichiral multiplet.

The Slavnov–Taylor operator and its linearized version $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma$ have the following nilpotency properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{s}_\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) &= 0 \quad \text{for any functional } \mathcal{F}, \\ \mathbf{s}_\mathcal{F} \mathbf{s}_\mathcal{F} &= 0, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) = 0.\end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

B Symmetric operators

In the Wess–Zumino gauge the symmetric operators of Super–Yang–Mills theories with local gauge coupling are symmetric with respect to the anomalous Slavnov–Taylor identity (87), the $\eta - \bar{\eta}$ identity (88) and satisfy order by order the topological formula (29).

- The gauge independent differential operator of the gauge coupling $\mathcal{D}_{kin}$ (93), which gives rise to the one-loop β function:

$$\mathcal{D}_{kin} = \int d^4x g^4 F(g^2) \frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} \quad (120)$$

- The gauge independent operator $\mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{sym}$, which extends the $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta}$ invariant operator $\mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{r_\eta}$ (94) to a $\mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta} + r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{S}$ -symmetric operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{sym} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{Vv}^{r_\eta^{(l)}} - r^{(1)}(8\mathcal{D}_{g^2}^{(l+1)} + 8l(\mathcal{N}_V^{(l+1)} - 8(l+1)r^{(1)}\delta\mathcal{N}_V^{(l+2)})). \quad (121)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{g^2}^{(l+1)} = \int d^4x \left(F(g^2)g^{2(l+2)}\frac{\delta}{\delta g^2} - \chi^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})\alpha}\frac{\delta}{\delta\chi^\alpha} + \bar{\chi}^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \right. \\ \left. - f^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})}\frac{\delta}{\delta f} - \bar{f}^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{f}} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_V^{(l)} = \int d^4x \left(F(g^2)g^{2l}\left(V^\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta V^\mu} + \tilde{\lambda}^\alpha\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha} + \bar{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + \tilde{D}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{D}}\right) \right. \\ \left. - (F(g^2) + \frac{g^2}{l-1}\partial_{g^2}F(g^2))\left(\frac{i}{2}V_\mu(\sigma^\mu\bar{\chi}^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})})^\alpha\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha} + \text{c.c.} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 2\left(V^\mu v_\mu^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} - i\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha\chi_\alpha^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})} + i\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{G}^{2l})}\bar{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{D}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

and

$$\delta\mathcal{N}_V^{(l+1)} = \int d^4x g^{2(l+1)}F(g^2)(F(g^2) + \frac{g^2}{l}\partial_{g^2}F(g^2))V^\mu V_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{D}}. \quad (124)$$

In eqs. (123) and (122) the components of the anomalous multiplet $\tilde{G}^{2l}$ of the gauge coupling are defined in (95).

- The symmetric differential operators of the gauge vector multiplet

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_A^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,A}^{(l)} &= \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\Gamma \left(\text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2l} f_A(\xi) \rho^\mu A_\mu \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2l} f_A(\xi) \left(\bar{c} \frac{\delta}{\delta B} - 2\xi \frac{\delta}{\delta \chi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta C^\mu} \right) \right) \Gamma \\
\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,\lambda}^{(l)} &= \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\Gamma \text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2l} f_\lambda(\xi) (\lambda^\alpha Y_{\lambda\alpha} + \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} Y_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \\
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda A}^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,\lambda A}^{(l)} &= \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\Gamma \text{Tr} \int d^4x i g^{2(l+1)} f_{\lambda A}(\xi) (Y_\lambda^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \chi^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} Y_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}) A_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\Gamma \equiv \mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta} + r^{(1)} \delta \mathcal{S} \tag{126}$$

In the expression for the field redefinition of the vector field A^μ we have used that the gauge fixing part is not renormalized due to linearity of the B -field. Therefore the renormalizations of the B -fields, the ghosts $\bar{c}$ and the gauge parameter doublet are determined by the renormalization constants of the vectors. Working out the variations we find the following invariant operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_A^{(l)} &= \int d^4x g^{2l} f_A(\xi) \left(A_a^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_a^\mu} - \rho_a^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho_a^\mu} - B_a \frac{\delta}{\delta B_a} - \bar{c}_a \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}_a} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\xi \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi} + 2\chi_\xi \frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_\xi} + \partial^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta H^\mu} \right) \\
\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{(l)} &= \text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2l} f_\lambda(\xi) \left(\lambda^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda^\alpha} + \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - Y_\lambda^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta Y_\lambda^\alpha} - Y_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta Y_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \right) \\
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda A}^{(l)} &= \text{Tr} \int d^4x i g^{2(l+1)} f_{\lambda A}(\xi) \left(A_\mu (\sigma^\mu \bar{\chi})^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda^\alpha} + Y_\lambda^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho_\mu} - \text{c.c.} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

- The symmetric differential operator of the Faddeev–Popov ghost:

$$\mathcal{N}_c^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,c}^{(l)} = (\mathbf{s}_\Gamma^{r_\eta} + r^{(1)} \delta \mathcal{S}) \text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2l} (-Y_c c) \tag{128}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_c^{(l)} = \text{Tr} \int d^4x g^{2l} \left(c \frac{\delta}{\delta c} - Y_c \frac{\delta}{\delta Y_c} \right) \tag{129}$$

- The symmetric differential operators of the matter fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_\varphi^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,\varphi}^{(l)} &\equiv \tilde{s}_\Gamma \int d^4x \, g^{2l} f_\varphi^{(l)}(\tilde{\xi}) (Y_{\varphi_L} \varphi_L + Y_{\overline{\varphi}_L} \overline{\varphi}_L + (L \rightarrow R)) \quad (130) \\
\mathcal{N}_\psi^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,\psi}^{(l)} &\equiv \tilde{s}_\Gamma \int d^4x \, g^{2l} f_\psi^{(l)}(\tilde{\xi}) (\psi_L Y_{\psi_L} + \overline{\psi}_L Y_{\overline{\psi}_L} + (L \rightarrow R)) \\
\mathcal{N}_{\psi\varphi}^{(l)}\Gamma + \Delta_{Y,\psi\varphi}^{(l)} &\equiv \tilde{s}_\Gamma \int d^4x \, \sqrt{2} f_{\psi\varphi}^{(l)}(\tilde{\xi}) (\chi^{E(2l)} \varphi_L Y_{\psi_L} \\
&\quad + \overline{\chi}^{E(2l)} \overline{\varphi}_L Y_{\overline{\psi}_L} + (L \rightarrow R))
\end{aligned}$$

Explicit expressions are immediately obtained by evaluating the variation. They can be also found in [8].

References

- [1] N.N. Bogolubov and D.V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the theory of quantized fields*, (Interscience, New York, 1969).
- [2] H. Epstein and V. Glaser, *Adiabatic limit in perturbation theory*, in *Renormalization theory*, ed. G. Velo and A.S. Wightman (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1975)
- [3] M.A. Shifman and A.I. Vainshtein, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 571.
- [4] L.Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B355** (1991) 649.
- [5] N. Seiberg, *Phys. Lett.* **B318** (1993) 469.
- [6] V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B422** (1994) 57.
- [7] R. Flume and E. Kraus, *Nucl. Phys.* **B569** (2000) 625.
- [8] E. Kraus and D. Stöckinger, hep-th/0105028.
- [9] E. Kraus and D. Stöckinger, hep-ph/0107061.
- [10] K. Fujikawa and W. Lang, *Nucl. Phys.* **B88** (1975) 61.
- [11] M.T. Grisaru, W. Siegel and M. Rocek, *Nucl. Phys.* **B159** (1979) 429;
M.T. Grisaru and W. Siegel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B201** (1982) 292.
- [12] M.A. Shifman and A.I. Vainshtein, *Nucl. Phys.* **B277** (1986) 456.
- [13] S.L. Adler, *Phys. Rev.* **177** (1969) 2426;
W.A. Bardeen, *Phys. Rev.* **184** (1969) 1848.

- [14] N. Arkani-Hamed and H. Murayama, *JHEP* 0006 (2000) 030.
- [15] B. de Wit and D.Z. Freedman, *Phys. Rev.* **D12** (1975) 2286.
- [16] P.L. White, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9** (1992) 1663.
- [17] N. Maggiore, O. Piguet and S. Wolf, *Nucl. Phys.* **B458** (1996) 403.
- [18] W. Hollik, E. Kraus and D. Stöckinger, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C11** (1999) 365.
- [19] O. Piguet and K. Sibold, *Nucl. Phys.* **B253** (1985) 517.
- [20] R. Häußling and E. Kraus *Z. Phys.* **C75** (1997) 739;
R. Häußling, E. Kraus and K. Sibold, *Nucl. Phys.* **B539** (1999) 619.
- [21] O. Piguet, M. Schweda and K. Sibold, *Nucl. Phys.* **B74** (1980) 183.
- [22] V. Novikov, M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein and V. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B229** (1983) 381; *Phys. Lett.* **B166** (1986) 329.
- [23] S.L. Adler and W.A. Bardeen, *Phys. Rev.* **182** (1969) 1517.
- [24] E. Kraus, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **67** (1994) 424.
- [25] T. Clark, O. Piguet and K. Sibold, *Nucl. Phys.* **B159** (1979) 1.
- [26] C. Lucchesi, O. Piguet and K. Sibold, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **61** (1988) 321.
- [27] K. Konishi, *Phys. Lett.* **B135** (1984) 439.